

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,339 Examiner Dmitry Suhol	LOCKETT, DWIGHT J. Art Unit 3725

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Dmitry Suhol.

(3) _____.

(2) Mary Edwards.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Kopel US 3,200,514

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested canceling claim 6 and allowing the rest of the current claims to avoid a possible rejection based upon newly discovered reference to Kopel '514. Applicants representative agreed to cancel the claim 6 and indicated that a continuation may be filed at a later date encompassing the limitations thereof. .